Mishnah Berurah Digest

Based on the Sunday morning shiur by Dayan Y. Abraham, שליט"א



ש"ע סימן ר"ג, סעיפים ד-ו ומשנה ברורה שם

דִינֵי בַּרְכוֹת פֵּירוֹת הַאַרְץ

In א"ע ר"ג, ד ה"ע ר"ג, ד we are given the ruling that fruit from "אָרֶבֶנִי סְרַקּר take שׁיְרֵבֶּנִי סְרַקּר. What type of fruit are these? The שֵׁרְבּל explains that these are inferior fruits, such as small apples and pears that grow on forest trees and are not edible raw. The בּיאוּר הַלָּבָה explains that these אִילְנֵי סְרַק are trees that grew of their own accord, without having been sown or planted. In addition, the fruit of these trees are not edible raw but only once they have been cooked. The בִּיאוּר הַלָּבָה points out that fruit that had been 'sown or planted,' (i.e. cultivated) but are not edible raw take the בְּרָבָה appropriate to their plant, הָאֵדְמָה once they have been made edible through cooking.

The מ"ב explains that these fruits (from "אָלְנֵי סְרָק") take הָּעֵץ because they are not of enough importance to deserve a הָעֵץ, likening them to עֵץ בְּעֶלְמָא - mere wood. They are not comparable, however, to berries (discussed in ש"ע ר"ג, ב however, to berries (discussed in ש"ע ר"ג, ב w), which grow on thorn bushes that would seem similar to uncultivated trees, "אִילְנֵי סְרַק", since if the berries are left to ripen on the bush they become edible (though they may take הַאֲדָמָה if their branches do not last from year to year). In contrast, since these small forest apples and pears never become good enough to eat in their raw state they do not gain the status of proper fruit and take שַׁהַכּל even after being cooked.

The מ"ב notes that the small nuts that can be picked from forest trees and are good to eat are fully considered as fruit and require $\eta \nu$.

An interesting insight may be gained from the ruling of the ש"ע in סימן ה that the berries found on myrtle branches take שֶׁהֲכֹּל even after being made edible through cooking. We may question this on the basis that הַדַּסִים, myrtles, are very often cultivated, and we learnt above that cultivated fruit take the בְּרָכָּה appropriate to their plant once they have been made edible through cooking. Nevertheless, the מ"ב confirms that myrtle berries are actually "כפירות שמוציאין אילני סרק", like the fruit produced by uncultivated trees. What is the distinction, here?

Dayan Abraham clarified this as follows: myrtle bushes are cultivated for their branches and the berries are a secondary product. The myrtle berries, themselves, are not being cultivated per se, which means they can not be classified together with those fruit, to which the בָּיאוֹר הַלְּכָה referred, that are cultivated but not edible raw. The berries are, indeed, growing on plants which have been 'sown or planted,' but the berries, themselves, remain almost as if they are growing wild, "כפירות שמוציאין אילני סרק".

The u"v rules (Γ "k, Γ) that ginger that is sweetened and confected when moist takes u"v continues, it would seem logical that the same would apply to ginger that is confected when dry, since through this processing the ginger becomes edible and attains the status of the main food component.

Elaborating on these points, the מ"ב explains that the ש"ע wishes to clarify that ginger sweetened with honey takes the same בְּרָכָה as that made over ginger when it is eaten raw, namely, מ"ב Eurthermore, explains the מ"ב, even though we would not say any בְּרָכָה at all on dried ginger, nevertheless, once it has been confected with honey and once again becomes edible it regains its normal בפה"א of בְּרָכָה בפה"א.

The מ"ב is careful to dispel any concern we might have that the above ruling is inconsistent with an earlier ruling, made in ד'ב, יד. There, the ש"ע taught that soft muscat nuts cooked in honey take ש"ב. The מ"ב explained there (ס"ק עמ) that even though the honey makes these soft nuts palatable, nevertheless, since these nuts were not planted with the intention of eating them in this young, not yet ripe, soft state, together with their shell, they can only take בַּרְכַּת שָׁחַכּל Undergoing a process of cooking and sweetening does not change the fact that these nuts did not mature and ripen to the point of being edible in their natural state, and therefore never reached the status of עַּרְעַץ at all.

In our case of ginger, however, the ginger did, indeed, ripen, but lost its normal בְּרֶכָה in the process of becoming dried and unpalatable. Mixing the dried ginger with honey to make it edible in effect returns its normal בְּרֶכָה to it that it did once have but subsequently lost. The מ"ב adds that the same ruling would apply to dried pepper that was confected: א"ב would be said. Similarly, on ginger that is roasted and eaten for הָאַדְּמָה (healing purposes) one says הָאַדְמָה because the ginger will have become edible.

Addressing the notion introduced by the ש"ע that in a confected mixture of dried ginger and honey the ginger remains the primary ingredient, the מ"ב rules that even if the ginger was confected by a non-Jew it does not take on the prohibition of בְּישׁוּל עֲקוֹּ"ם - food cooked by a non-Jew. This is because of the fact that ginger can be eaten raw if mixed with sugar. Many other fruit and vegetables, adds the בִּישׁוּל עֵקוֹּ"ם friving that can not be eaten raw, will be prohibited because of דְינִים if cooked by a non-Jew. A fuller treatment of the דְינִים if cooked by a non-Jew. A fuller treatment of the בּישׁוּל עֲקוּ"ם is required and further study recommended.

* * *