# Mishnah Berurah Digest

### Based on the Sunday morning shiur by Dayan Y. Abraham, שליט"א

### NUMBER 9

#### הלכות יום הכפורים

### ש"ע א"ח סימן תר"ז א

At the end of מַשֶּבֶת יוּמָא speaks about the requirement for a person to say וִידְּמֹּ before the מְנְחָדָה הַמַּבְּטֶקֶת. Accordingly, the Shulchan Aruch rules that מִנְּחָה הַמַּבְּטֶקֶת the Shulchan Aruch rules that מִנְחָה הַמַּבְּעֶקֶת There are two reasons for this:

- 1. the Gemara is concerned that a person could become confused in the process of eating and drinking during the סְעוּדָה הַמַּבְּטֶקֶת "שַׁמָא תִּטָרְף דַץְתוֹ בַּקְעוּדָה") (or, drunk - *Rashi: "מַ*חְמַת שָׁכְרוּת") and not be in the proper frame of mind afterwards for saying יְיִדוּי,
- 2. רמב"ם writes that we are concerned that a person might choke ("שֶׁמָּא יֵחְנֵק") during the סְעוּדָה הַמַּנְּסֶקֶת, (ח"ר), and so miss his crucial opportunity for הל' תשובה ב: ז) וִידוּי.

The משנה ברורה brings both of these reasons, and both sources are cited in the שער הציון, where Rashi's comment on the Gemara "שַּעְרָהוּת" (see above) is also noted. The מִּעְהַתְּת שִּׁכְרוּת־ (see above) is also noted. The וְיִדוּי adds the view of those poskim who rule that one should also say יִידוּי after the meal but before it is dark. He says that it is proper to follow this view, which has resulted in our custom to recite the תַּבְלָה זַּבָּה.

## ש"ע א"ח סימן תרי"ט

On the night of מְנְהָּג it is the מִנְהָג that the שָׁלִיחַ צָּבּוּר says the words: בִּישִׁיבָה שֶׁל מֵעְלָה וּבִישִׁיבָה שֶׁל מֵשָה,

עַל דַּעַת הַפֶּקוֹם וְעַל דַּעַת הַקָּהָל, אָנוּ מַתִּירִין לְהִתְּפַּלֵל עִם הָעֲבַרְיָנִים. אָנוּ מַתִּירִין לְהִתְּפַּלֵל עִם הָעֲבַרְיָנִים.

"With the knowledge and acquiescence of the יְשִׁיבָה שֶׁל מֵעְלָה and the יְשִׁיבָה שֶׁל מֵשָה, we permit davening even with people who are transgressors..."

The מ"ב explains that with these words we are calling for a declared agreement to be formed between הקב"ה and the community, that known and proclaimed transgressors be released from their status as such and be permitted to join in prayer with the community. The reason for this is that any public fast that does not include transgressors does not have the status of a valid fast.

Why should this be so? The Gemara in יִּ בְּרִיתוּת, teaches that בְּרִיתוּת, - galbanum - did not have a pleasant odour, but was nonetheless brought as part of the קּטֹרֶת. Note that there were eleven spices in total. If you already had a מִנְיֵנְן of spices that were sweet smelling, you could also "put up with הֶלְבְּנָה," explained the Dayan. Furthermore, not only was it that you could put up with חֵלְבְּנָה also "comes good itself." Whilst

foul-smelling by itself, its unpleasant odour was not distinct, and was even improved, when it combined and mingled with the other spices. In the same way, a person who, because of his behaviour, has otherwise been specifically banned by אַבַּוּר from communal activities, can actually become acceptable before הַקב"ה when included in a עִּים בְּפוּר חס מִנְיֵנְן.

"אַנוּ מַתִּירִין לְהְתְפַּלֵל עִם הָעֲבַרְיָנִים" means, continued Dayan Abraham, that everyone has to be included on a day like this. If the פּוֹשְעִי יִשְׂרָאֵל are missing, we are missing. It may be that the פּוֹשְעִי יִשְּׂרָאֵל provoke during the year, and it may even be that division is appropriate at times, but יוֹם כַּפוּר is not a time for pointing fingers and displaying divisiveness; it is a time for unity. This is a time for accepting and joining with those who feel unconnected.

The פְּרִשָּׁה writes that שָׁם שָׁמֵים is exalted and sanctified at the time when the רְשָׁעִים return in הְשׁוּבָה and commit themselves to behaving like (שער הציון). It must follow that it is incumbent upon us, particularly at this time, to provide those people with an opportunity to do הְשׁוּבָה and be הְשׁוּבָה in that way.

Now, what is בְּל נִדְרִי all about, anyway, and what does it have to do with Yom Kippur? On the surface, it's a הַּתָּרֵת נְדָרִים, releasing oneself of all נְדָרִים made in the past. However, Rabeinu Tam asks: surely, we cancel vows by going before a אָרֶב ר"ה הס מִינְהָג as is the אֶרֶב ר"ה הס מִינְהָג when we perform the standard ceremony of הַתָּרַת נְדָרִים? Furthermore, while the chazan is performing this collective releasing of vows for the community, how is he included, himself?

Rabeinu Tam answers that this is not a releasing of past vows but a declaration for the future. It is a disclaimer, as it were, that removes any label of שְׁבּוּעָה from commitments I may make in the coming year.

There are different אוניסי, that reflect the different views on this issue. However, the Mishnah Berurah discusses in detail the way the interpretation of the words in בָּל נְדְרֵי can be taken to reflect the desired emphasis on the past or future, respectively. He cites the view of the מִדְרָנָא can be interpreted both in terms of the past and the future.

The congregation recites פָל נִדְרֵי quietly along with the ש"ץ because this is not a תְּפָלָה but a legal declaration, and the chazan's pronouncements on the past and conditions on the future can not work also for my נדרים.

\* \* \*